20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	J Christopher Jorgensen, Esq.		
2	Nevada Bar No. 5382 Brittni A. Tanenbaum, Esq. Nevada Bar No. 16013 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169		
3			
4			
5	Tel: 702.949.8200 Fax: 702.949.8398		
6	CJorgensen@lewisroca.com BTanenbaum@lewisroca.com		
7	Attorneys for Defendant Rise Credit		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9			
10	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
11	JUDD HALL,	Case No. 2:22-cv-01642-CDS-DJA	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	DEFENDANT RISE CREDIT'S	
14		UNOPPOSED MOTION TO EXTEND	
15	CLARITY SERVICES, INC; 2233 PARADISE ROAD, LLC	DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT	
16	dba CASH FACTORY USA; FINWISE BANK; RISE CREDIT,	(FIRST REQUEST)	
17	Defendants.	(======================================	
18	Defendants.		
	Durguent to Federal Pule of Civil Press	adura 6(b)(1)(A) and Lacal Dula IA 6.1. Defender	
19	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A) and Local Rule IA 6-1, Defendar		

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1)(A) and Local Rule IA 6-1, Defendant Rise Credit ("Rise"), through its attorneys, respectfully requests that this Court extend the deadline in which Rise has to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint, through and until November 17, 2022. In support of its Motion, Rise states the following:

- 1. Plaintiff filed the Complaint initiating this action on September 28, 2022. (ECF No. 1).
- 2. Plaintiff served the Summons and Complaint on Rise effective October 6, 2022. This established an initial deadline for Rise to respond to the Complaint by October 27, 2022. (ECF No. 7).
 - 3. Rise's deadline to respond to the Complaint has not yet expired.

119255273.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

4.	Rise now respectfully requests that this Court extend Rise's deadline to respond to
the Complaint	through and including November 17, 2022.

- 5. Counsel for Rise discussed the requested extension with counsel for Plaintiff and counsel, Shawn Miller, does not oppose the requested extension.
- 6. Rise desires additional time to respond to the Complaint in order to investigate the allegations and underlying facts, and to determine whether early resolution is possible.
- 7. This is Rise's first request for an extension; this request is brought in good faith and not made to unnecessarily delay these proceedings.
- 8. No party will be prejudiced by the requested extension nor, respectfully, will the extension unduly burden this Court.
- 9. Granting the requested extension is in the interest of justice and is otherwise right and proper.

WHEREFORE Defendant Rise respectfully requests that this Court grant its Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Complaint, extending its deadline to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff's Complaint by and through November 17, 2022, and award such other relief this Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 26th day of October, 2022.

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER CHRISTIE LLP

By: /s/ Brittni A. Tanenbaum

J Christopher Jorgensen, Esq. (SBN 5382) Brittni A. Tanenbaum, Esq. (SBN 16013) 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169

Attorneys for Defendant Rise Credit

ORDER

IT IS SØ ORDERED.

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED: October 27, 2022

28

119255273.3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on October 26, 2022, a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been served upon all parties via CM/ECF.

/s/ Martin Lucero
An employee of Lewis Roca

An employee of Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP

119255273.3